NOTES & ACTION ITEMS

Meeting No. 4 – May 19, 2016

Bavarian (Leavenworth) Substation Community Focus Group

Attendees: Joel Walinski, Elmer Larsen, Nathan Pate, Earl Goodman, Scott Bradshaw, Tom Keziah, Clint Lougheed, Jess Boyd, Andy Wendell, Chad Rissman, Gary Rice, Teka Parks, Shaun Seaman

- 1. Meeting Summary:
 - Shaun opened the meeting, reviewing meeting #3 and the work that had been done up to this point
 - We reviewed and modified some scoring from the evaluation matrix, a few group members had not been present at meeting #3 to take part in the scoring
 - There was some discussion about area 3 regarding aesthetics and distribution
 - The PUD typically utilizes the type of distribution that exists in the area
 - We could utilize existing infrastructure for distribution if a substation was sited in area 3
 - We would need to build transmission to feed a substation sited in area 3, it would likely be stacked on top of the existing distribution circuit that runs through town, in the alley just north of Hwy. 2
 - Discussed the economic impact of using the land for a substation
 - Discussed the impacts of additional transmission versus additional distribution
 - There are more opportunities for mitigation with distribution than there is transmission
 - Underground distribution uses more real estate than overhead distribution you have to keep the area over underground distribution clear for safety and access
 - You can't build over underground distribution and you can't build under overhead distribution
 - Added a row to the evaluation matrix under Aesthesis and Neighborhood Values section for transmission
 - The group evaluated the top seven ranked areas with this additional criteria
 - The group re-evaluated underground/overhead distribution for the top seven ranked areas
 - The group validated and confirmed the final evaluation matrix with the modifications
 - Question: When would the PUD condemn property for the purpose of siting a substation?
 - The PUD has not practiced this in recent history
 - It's not something the PUD contemplates
 - The focus group has been charged with finding a "win-win"
 - Gary and Becky reviewed Real Estate Services (RES) version of the evaluation matrix, completed on the top seven ranked areas
 - Distributed copies of the map indicating the "Top 3" areas
 - Distributed copies of RES version of the evaluation matrix
 - Based on RES evaluation, area 15 was not a viable are to site a substation the group agreed and area 15 was
 removed from the list
 - RES also suggested we add area 8a, near the existing area 8 RES evaluated an area considered 8a
 - The "Top 3" areas that were identified at meeting #3 did not change as a result of the modified scoring
 - The "Top 3" areas remain:
 - o **#3**
 - o **#9-10**
 - o #8, 8a, 14
 - Area 9-10 was modified slightly to remove the section of the bubble that crossed the river
 - The group agreed we should communicate to the community early, prior to any decision making take less detail to the community early to keep them informed, rather than going to late with too much detail
 - The group agreed we would hold two community meetings one in June with less detail and one later when we have more detail about what the substation would look like including distribution and transmission

Next steps and action items:

- Becky will begin contacting all property owners in the "Top 3" areas
- A community meeting has been set for Tuesday, June 28th, 6-6:45 p.m., Leavenworth City Hall
- A focus group member will be asked to present information about the value of the group and the process to the community, the process itself and a status update
- The group requested to meet again after the consultant completed early cost analysis work